DIAGNOSING AND MANAGING SEPSIS SYNDROME: THE EMERGING ROLE OF BEDSIDE ANALYTE TESTING



Continuing Education Credit(s)

Date of Release: 7/1/2023 Date of Expiration: 7/1/2025 Estimated time to complete this educational activity: 1.5 hours

Continuing Education Credits

Physicians - This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essential Areas and Policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through Synativ. Synativ is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians. Synativ designates this educational activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)[™] toward the AMA Physician's Recognition Award. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Nursing - Educational Review Systems is an approved provider of continuing education in nursing by ASNA, an accredited provider by the ANCC/Commission on Accreditation. Provider #5-115. This program is approved for one and a half (1.5) hours. Educational Review Systems is also approved for nursing continuing education by the State of California and the District of Columbia.

Respiratory Therapy - This program has been approved for 1.5 contact hours Continuing Respiratory Care Education (CRCE) credit by the American Association for Respiratory Care, 9425 N. MacArthur Blvd., Suite 100, Irving, TX 75063 Course # **213078000**

Laboratory Technicians - One PACE credit will be provided for this self-study program. This session is approved for 1.5 Florida CE credits. Florida Board of Clinical Laboratory Personnel approved number: 50-12563.

Statement of Need

Sepsis kills more than 270,000 Americans each year and is becoming more common, especially in the hospital setting.

Sepsis is a medical emergency that can be difficult to define, diagnose, and treat, but every minute counts in the effort to save lives.

This learning activity will describe how bedside analyte testing could aid therapeutic decision-making and improve the prognosis for patients with sepsis.

Intended Audience

The primary audience for this learning activity is healthcare professionals (physicians and nurses) who are involved in the testing, diagnosis, treatment, and management of sepsis, and are interested in the role of biomarkers to improve the care for these patients.

Learning Objectives

After completing this activity, the participant should be able to:

- 1. Review the epidemiology of sepsis
- 2. Describe biomarkers used in the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis
- 3. Explain how to evaluate sepsis tests and results
- 4. Identify the benefits of point-of-care analyte testing in sepsis patients

Medical Advisement

We would like to acknowledge the following medical experts who served as advisors to this educational program:



Thomas Ahrens DNS, RN, PhD



Jill A. Sellers BSPharm, PharmD



Emanuel P. Rivers MD, MPH

Disclosures

There are no disclosures for this learning activity.

Table of Contents

| I. | Introduction to Sepsis | 10 |
|------|----------------------------|-----|
| П. | Sepsis Biomarkers | 22 |
| III. | Sepsis Testing and Results | .39 |
| IV. | Sepsis and COVID-19 | 50 |
| V. | Case Study | 57 |

Introduction to Sepsis Definition, Etiology, Morbidity, and Mortality





"Hectic fever, at its inception, is difficult to recognize but easy to treat; left unattended it becomes easy to recognize and difficult to treat."

> — Niccolo Machiavelli *The Prince,* 1513

Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services (CMS) Definition: Severe Sepsis

- 1. Documentation of a suspected source of clinical infection.
- 2. Two or more manifestations of systemic infection (SIRS criteria).
- 3. The presence of organ dysfunction.

In order to establish the presence of severe sepsis, all three criteria **must be met** within 6 hours of each other.

| SIRS Criteria | Organ Dysfunction Variables |
|--|--|
| Temperature > 38.3°C or < 36.0°C | Systolic blood pressure (SBP) < 90 mmHg or mean arterial pressure < 65 mmHg or a SBP decrease > 40 mmHg from the last SBP considered normal for that patient |
| Heart rate > 90 beats per minute | Creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL (176.8 mmol/L) or Urine output < 0.5 mL/kg/hour for > 2 hours |
| Respiration > 20 breaths/min | Bilirubin > 2 mg/dL (34.2 mmol/L) |
| White blood cell count > 12,000 or < 4000/mm ³ or > 10% bandemia | Platelet count < 100,000 |
| | Coagulopathy (INR > 1.5 or aPTT > 60 secs) |
| | Lactate > 2 mmol/L (18.0 mg/dl) |

SIRS = Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome aPPT = activated partial thromboplastin time

CMS Definitions: Septic Shock

1. There must be documentation of severe sepsis present.

AND

- 2. Tissue hypoperfusion persists in the hour after crystalloid fluid administration, evidenced by either
 - Systolic blood pressure
 (SBP) < 90, or
 - Mean arterial pressure < 65, or
 - A decrease in systolic blood pressure by > 40 mmHg from the last previously recorded SBP considered normal for that specific patient.

OR

3. Lactate level is \geq 4 mmol/L.

Sepsis-3 Definition

- Sepsis: Infection + 2 qSOFA criteria
- Severe sepsis: Definition eliminated
- Septic shock: SBP < 90 mmHg AND lactate > 2 after adequate fluid resuscitation

Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) Score

| qSOFA (Quick SOFA) Criteria | Points |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Respiratory rate ≥ 22/min | 1 |
| Change in mental status | 1 |
| Systolic blood pressure ≤ 100 mmHg | 1 |

Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guideline: 2016

- Sepsis: Infection + end organ dysfunction
- Severe sepsis: Definition eliminated
- Septic shock: Subset of sepsis with circulatory and cellular/ metabolic dysfunction associated with a higher risk of mortality

Evolving Sepsis Definitions

| | 2012 SCCG | NQF | CMS | Sepsis-3 | 2016 SSCG |
|------------------|---|-----------|--|---|--|
| SIRS | No change | No change | No change | Eliminated and qSOFA introduced for purpose of risk stratification | No SIRS. No qSOFA. |
| Sepsis | No change | No change | No change | Infection + 2 qSOFA | Infection + end-organ dysfunction. No clinical criteria offered. |
| Severe sepsis | Sepsis + end-organ dysfunction. Lactate > 4 | No change | Sepsis + end-organ dysfunction. Lactate > 2 | Eliminated | Eliminated |
| Septic shock | MAP threshold increased to < 70 mmHg and fluid bolus defined as 30 mL/kg | No change | Initial lactate > 4 or SBP < 90 mmHg after 30 mL/kg fluid bolus | SBP < 90 mmHg AND lactate > 2 after adequate fluid resuscitation | Subset of sepsis with circulatory and cellular/metabolic dysfunction associated with a higher risk of mortality. No clinical criteria offered. |

Symptoms of Sepsis



Adapted from CDC Sepsis Infographic. https://www.cdc.gov/sepsis/pdfs/HCP_infographic_protect-your-patients-from-sepsis_508.pdf. Accessed June 11, 2021.

The Relationship Between SIRS, Sepsis, and Severe Sepsis



Microbes

- Many different types of microbes can cause sepsis:
 - Bacteria (most common)
 - Fungi
 - Viruses
- Severe cases often result from a localized infection but sepsis can also spread throughout the body

Staphylococcus sp. (Bacteria)



CDC/ Matthew J. Arduino

Aspergillus sp. (Fungi)



CDC/ Robert Simmons

Influenza (Virus)



CDC/ Erskine. L. Palmer, PhD; M. L. Martin

Sepsis Incidence and Mortality

1.7 Million

People get **sepsis** each year in the U.S.





1 in 3 patients who die in a hospital have sepsis.

Length of Stay and Cost for Unplanned Readmissions After Index Admission for Sepsis, AMI, Heart Failure, Pneumonia, COPD

| | National Readmission Data | | | Weighted Proportion of Cases in the United States | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | No. of All Index Admissions Readmitted Within 30 Days | Estimated Mean Length of Stay (95% CI), d | Estimated Mean Cost per Readmission (95% Cl), \$ | Percentage of Index Admissions Readmitted Within 30 Days (95% CI) | Percentage of Total Estimated Cost of All Readmissions (95% CI) |
| Admissions associated with 30 d readmission | 1,187,697 | 6.4 (6.4-6.5) | 8,242 (8,225-8,258) | NA | 100.0 |
| Sensitivity analyses | | | | | |
| Sepsis | 89,800 | 7.6 (7.6-7.7) | 10,828 (10,760-10,897) | 7.3 (7.1-7.5) | 9.1 (8.8-9.4) |
| Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) | 21,281 | 6.0 (5.9-6.1) | 9,530 (9,408-9,654) | 1.8 (1.7-1.8) | 2.0 (1.9-2.1) |
| Heart Failure (HF) | 236,636 | 6.5 (6.5-6.5) | 9,248 (9,211-9,285) | 20.0 (19.6-20.4) | 22.1 (21.6-22.6) |
| Pneumonia | 130,904 | 6.9 (6.9-7.0) | 9,749 (9,700-9,797) | 11.1 (10.9-11.4) | 12.5 (12.2-12.8) |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | 201,867 | 6.3 (6.3-6.4) | 8,677 (8,641-8,713) | 17.4 (17-17.7) | 17.2 (16.7-17.7) |

Mayer FB, et al. J Am Med Assoc. 2017;317(5):530-31.

Use in Diagnosis, Risk, and Response



Sepsis Biomarkers: Screening

- 1. Diagnosis of sepsis and evaluation of its severity is complicated by the highly variable and non-specific nature of signs and symptoms.
- 2. Many patients meet SIRS criteria but have weak signs of infection.
- 3. SIRS is not specific to sepsis and can result from other conditions such as acute pancreatitis and immunodeficiencies.
- 4. Biomarkers of sepsis may improve diagnosis and therapeutic decision-making.

Issues Encountered in Sepsis Biomarker Research

Problem

Lack of a gold standard

Effect of comorbidities and treatments

Disease heterogeneity

Small study sample size

Failure to consider pre-test probability

Inappropriate control groups

Early Sepsis Biomarker Outcomes

| Blood Biomarkers | Survivor Group, Median (IQR) | Nor-survivor Group, Median (IQR) | Total | <i>P</i> value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Inflammation | | | | |
| hs-CRP (mg/L) | 188.3 (102.9–295.7) | 175.7 (136.5–300.2) | 187.9 (129.8–296.8) | 0.849 |
| PCT (ng/ml) | 28.0 (6.1–95.3) | 29.0 (10.8–102.4) | 28.5 (6.3–97.1) | 0.961 |
| IL-6 (pg/ml) | 217.6 (103.3–962.4) | 4809.0 (247.2–5000.0) | 313.7 (121.3–2565.3) | 0.001* |
| Circulation | | | | |
| Lactate (mmol/l) | 2.4 (1.8–3.2) | 6.3 (2.5–14.3) | 2.5 (1.9–4.1) | 0.014* |
| NT-proBNP (ng/ml) | 1596.5 (1708.6–10,635.4) | 32,905.3 (17,942.5–35,000.0) | 3720.1 (880.9–23,665.7) | < 0.001* |
| Tn-I (ng/ml) | 0.0 (0.01–0.12) | 0.2 (0.01–0.98) | 0.01 (0.01–0.31) | 0.953 |
| Renal function | | | | |
| Cr (µmol/l) | 125.0 (92.0–247.0) | 252.0 (239.0–463.0) | 170.0 (103.0–281.0) | 0.215 |
| Liver function | | | | |
| Total bilirubin (µmol/l) | 13.8 (5.0–70.9) | 14.8 (8.3–110.9) | 14.0 (6.0–88.9) | 0.505 |
| Coagulation function | | | | |
| PLT (× 10 ⁹ /l), mean (SD) | 170.6 (109.5) | 167.4 (110.5) | 169.7 (108.0) | 0.919 |
| PT (s) | 15.6 (13.2–17.4) | 20.1 (16.9–25.2) | 16.2 (14.0–19.5) | 0.030* |
| APTT (s) | 45.1 (38.5–55.3) | 59.0 (46.8–90.5) | 49.0 (42.2–58.0) | 0.026* |
| Fib (g/l) | 5.1 (4.2–7.7) | 5.7 (2.2–6.2) | 5.1 (4.1–7.3) | 0.882 |
| INR | 1.3 (1.1–1.5) | 1.8 (1.5–3.8) | 1.4 (1.2–1.8) | < 0.001* |
| d-Dimer (µg/l) | 3948.5 (2093.5–7848.0) | 8889.4 (4278.9–10,000.0) | 4765.9 (2792.7–8716.9) | 0.717 |

Liu J, et al. Sci Rep. 2021;11:1275.

Mortality Associated With Markers of Sepsis

| Markar | Univariate | • | Multivariate | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|
| warker | OR (95 % CI) | Р | OR (95 % CI) | Р | |
| Age | 1.017 (1.001, 1.033) | 0.038 | 1.022 (1.003, 1.041) | 0.024 | |
| Gender | 0.670 (0.389, 1.156) | 0.150 | 0.805 (0.437, 1.485) | 0.488 | |
| PCT (ng/mL) | 0.999 (0.996, 1.003) | 0.671 | 0.997 (0.982, 1.013) | 0.720 | |
| CRP (mg/L) | 1.001 (0.997, 1.005) | 0.606 | 0.999(0.994, 1.004) | 0.718 | |
| SOFA | 1.261 (1.160, 1.371) | < 0.001 | 1.263 (1.148, 1.389) | < 0.001 | |
| IL-6 | 1.010 (1.001, 1.017) | 0.045 | 1.000 (1.000, 1.001) | 0.128 | |
| WBC | 1.007 (0.997, 1.018) | 0.179 | 1.005 (0.994, 1.004) | 0.392 | |
| Body temperature | 1.214 (1.002, 1.471) | 0.048 | 1.100 (0.876, 1.381) | 0.413 | |

WBC = white blood cell count

Yang Y, et al. Ann Inten Care. 2016;6:51.

Lactate Production



Glycolysis: Pyruvate vs. Lactate Generation



Standard Aerobic Metabolism



Anaerobic Metabolism in Sepsis

6-Hour Lactate and 30-Day Mortality

Lee SG, et al. Med (Baltimore). 2021;100(7):e24835.

Lactate and PCT: 30-Day Mortality

Lactate and Vasopressin Response in Septic Shock

Lactate/Albumin Ration Predicts In-Hospital Mortality

Septic Shock

| Outcome | OR (95% CI) | <i>P</i> value |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| Multivariable analysis and association with response to vasopressin ^a | | |
| Non-medical ICU | 1.70 (1.18–2.46) | 0.005 |
| Lactate at AVP initiation, mmol/L | 0.93 (0.89–0.97) | < 0.001 |
| Multivariable analysis and association with ICU mortality | | |
| Hemodynamic response to AVP | 0.51 (0.35–0.76) | 0.001 |
| Catecholamine dose, mcg/kg/min | 3.14 (1.36–7.28) | 0.008 |
| Lactate at AVP initiation, mmol/L | 1.10 (1.04–1.18) | 0.002 |

Adapted from Sacha GL, et al. Ann Intensive Care. 2018;8:35.

Mortality in Septic Shock

Ko BS, et al. Critical Care. 2018;22:47.

Mortality in Septic Shock

| | Mortality at 28 days, number/total number of patients per group (%) |
|---|---|
| Hypotensive after fluids and vasopressor therapy and serum lactate levels > 2 mmol/L) | 111/435 (25.5%) |
| Hypotensive after fluids and vasopressor therapy and serum lactate levels $\leq 2 \text{ mmol/L}$) | 18/132 (13.6%) |
| Hypotensive after fluids and no vasopressors and serum lactate levels > 2 mmol/L) | 18/77 (23.4%) |
| Serum lactate levels > 2 mmol/L and no hypotension after fluids and no vasopressors) | 20/82 (24.4%) |
| Serum lactate levels between 2-4 mmol/L and no hypotension before fluids and no vasopressors) | Not applicable |
| Hypotensive after fluids and no vasopressors and serum lactate $\leq 2 \text{ mmol/L}$) | 8/86 (9.3%) |

Multi-Organ Failure and Septic Shock Predict 30-Day Mortality

Lee SG, et al. Med (Baltimore). 2021; 100(7):e24835.

Sepsis Testing and Results Guidelines, Algorithms, and Protocols

Factors to Consider When Evaluating Sepsis

- Blood gases
- Electrolytes
- Glucose
- Hematocrit
- Lactate

Sepsis Resuscitation Bundle and CMS Core Measures

- 1. The Sepsis Resuscitation Bundle is published by the Surviving Sepsis Campaign and is used by multiple hospitals across the country.
- 2. The goal is to perform all indicated tasks 100% of the time within the first 6 hours of identification of severe sepsis.
- 3. CMS has used the Sepsis Resuscitation Bundle as a foundation for SEP-1, the new early management core measures bundle for sepsis.
 - SEP-1 includes 3 and 6 hour time limits

Surviving Sepsis Campaign. http://sccm.org Early Management Bundle, Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock. Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures Discharges 07-01-19 (3Q19) through 12-31-19 (4Q19) Version 5.6. 2019.

2016 Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guideline

2016 Resuscitation Guideline

Treatment and resuscitation should begin immediately.

> 30 mL/kg of IV crystalloid should fluid be given within the first 3 hours.

Following initial fluid resuscitation, additional fluids should be guided by frequent reassessment of hemodynamic status.

Hemodynamic assessment should determine the type of shock if the clinical examination does not lead to a clear diagnosis.

Dynamic over static variables should be used to predict fluid responsiveness.

Initial target mean arterial pressure should be 65 mmHg in septic shock requiring vasopressors.

Guided resuscitation should normalize lactate in patients with elevated lactate levels.

Hour-One Surviving Sepsis Bundle Update

- 1. Measure lactate level (repeat lactate if initial lactate elevated [> 2mmol/L]).
- 2. Obtain blood cultures before administering antibiotics.
- 3. Administer broad-spectrum antibiotics.
- Begin rapid administration of 30mL/kg crystalloid for hypotension or lactate ≥ 4mmol/L.
- Apply vasopressors if hypotensive during or after fluid resuscitation to maintain mean arterial pressure ≥ 65 mmHg.

SEP-1: Early Management Bundle, Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock Specifications Manual for National Inpatient Quality Measures

- 1. CMS has provided an algorithm for treatment of severe sepsis in the Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock Management Bundle.
- 2. On October 1, 2015 CMS began collecting data from hospitals participating in the inpatient quality reporting program for this management bundle.
- 3. SEP measures were updated in July of 2019.

Early Management Bundle, Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock. Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures Discharges 07-01-19 (3Q19) through 12-31-19 (4Q19) Version 5.6. 2019.

Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock Management

Severe Sepsis

Within 3 Hours of Meeting All Severe Sepsis Criteria

- 1. Initial lactate level measurement.
- 2. Obtain blood cultures prior to antibiotics.
- 3. Administer a broad-spectrum or other antibiotic.

Within 6 Hours

4. Repeat lactate level measurement only if initial level is elevated.

Septic Shock

Within 3 Hours of Meeting All Septic Shock Criteria1. Resuscitation with 30 mL/kg crystalloid fluids.

Within 6 Hours (If hypotension still present)

- 2. Vasopressors.
- 3. Repeat volume status and tissue perfusion assessment.

Early Management Bundle, Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock. Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures Discharges 07-01-19 (3Q19) through 12-31-19 (4Q19) Version 5.6. 2019.

CMS Data Collection: All Sepsis Codes AND Numbers of Compliant Cases

Total ICD-10-CM Codes of Sepsis, Severe Sepsis, or Septic Shock

Early Management Bundle, Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock. Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures Discharges 07-01-19 (3Q19) through 12-31-19 (4Q19) Version 5.6. 2019.

Principles and Practice of Point-of-Care Testing

Benchtop

Nichols JH, et al. J Appl Lab Med. 2020. doi:10.1093/jalm/jfaa059.

Turnaround Time

- Serum lactate must be available with rapid turnaround time (within minutes) to effectively treat severely septic patients
- An arterial blood gas analyzer located in the clinical laboratory usually accomplishes this
- Hospitals should invest in adequate equipment in to meet present standards of care for septic patients
- If a central analyzer is not efficient in a particular hospital setting, point-of-care analyzers should be evaluated for faster turnaround time

https://www.sccm.org/SurvivingSepsisCampaign/Guidelines. Accessed July 9, 2021. www.emcrit.org/wp-content/uploads/lactate-faq.pdf. Accessed July 9, 2021.

Outcomes of Point-of-Care Lactate

| Outcome | <i>P</i> Value |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Mortality | < 0.05 |
| Length of stay | < 0.05 |
| Time to available result | < 0.001 |
| Time to IV fluids | < 0.05 |
| Time to antibiotics | < 0.001 |

Morris E, et al. Br J Gen Pract. 2017;67(665):e859–70.

Sepsis and Covid-19

Is Covid-19 Viral Sepsis?

SEPSIS

Systemic hypercoagulation

Immunosuppression Multiple organ dysfunction Abnormal coagulation Elevated bilirubin Hypoxia Reduced GFR Cytokine storm Elevated lactate Acute respiratory failure

COVID-19

Local thrombus formation

SSC Covid-19 Definition

| Category | Definition |
|----------|--|
| | Clinical signs of pneumonia (fever, cough, dyspnea, fast breathing) and one of the following: |
| Severe | Respiratory rate > 30 breaths/min; |
| | Severe respiratory distress; or |
| | Oxygen saturation < 90% on room air |
| Critical | Presence of acute respiratory distress syndrome or respiratory failure requiring ventilation, sepsis, or septic shock |

| Previous SSC COVID-19 Guideline | New SSC COVID-19 Guideline | Justification | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Recommendation/Statement | Recommendation/Statement | | | |
| Ventilation | | | | |
| Not applicable | 1. There is insufficient evidence to issue a recommendation on the use of awake | Uncertainty about the balance between benefit and harm | | |
| prone positioning in nonintubated adults with severe COVID-19. | | Awaiting the results of ongoing RCTs | | |
| Therapy | | | | |
| No recommendation | For adults with severe or critical COVID-19, we recommend against using hydroxychloroquine (strong recommendation). | Moderate-quality evidence showed no effect on mortality or need for mechanical ventilation | | |
| In mechanically ventilated adults with COVID-19 and respiratory | | High-quality evidence showing reduction in death | | |
| failure (without ARDS), we suggest against the routine use of systemic corticosteroids. In mechanically | 3. For adults with severe or critical COVID-19, we recommend using a short course of systemic corticosteroids over | Minimal adverse effects with short course of corticosteroids | | |
| ventilated adults with COVID-19 and ARDS, we suggest using systemic corticosteroids over not using corticosteroids. | not using corticosteroids (strong recommendation). | Corticosteroids are affordable and widely available | | |

Alhazzani W, et al. Crit Care Med. 2021;49(3):e219-34.

| Previous SSC COVID-19 Guideline | New SSC COVID-19 Guideline | Justification | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Recommendation/Statement | Recommendation/Statement | | | |
| Therapy | | | | |
| Not applicable | 4. For adults with severe or critical COVID-19 who are considered for systemic corticosteroids, we suggest using dexamethasone over other corticosteroids (weak recommendation). | There are no trials comparing different corticosteroids with each other | | |
| | Remark: If dexamethasone is not available, clinicians may use other corticosteroids in doses equivalent to 6 mg daily of dexamethasone for up to 10 days. | Dexamethasone was associated with the largest treatment effect compared to no corticosteroids | | |
| | | Dexamethasone is widely available | | |
| | | It remains unclear whether this is a class effect or drug-specific effect | | |
| In critically ill adults with COVID-19, we suggest against the routine use of convalescent plasma. | 5. For adults with severe or critical COVID-19, we suggest against the use convalescent plasma outside clinical trials (weak recommendation). | Low-quality evidence from RCTs showed no improvement in outcomes | | |
| | | Awaiting the results of large ongoing RCT | | |

| Previous SSC COVID-19 Guideline | New SSC COVID-19 Guideline | Justification | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Recommendation/Statement | Recommendation/Statement | | |
| Therapy | | | |
| No recommendation | For adults with severe COVID-19 who do not require mechanical ventilation, suggest using IV remdesivir over not using it (weak recommendation). | The result of a placebo-controlled trial showed large reduction in time to recovery and hospital stay | |
| | Remark: Remdesivir should ideally be started within 72 hours of positive severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 polymerase chain reaction or antigen testing. | • Subgroup analysis from the three trials showed a discordant effect on mortality, suggesting a possible reduction in death in patients who are not invasively ventilated | |
| | | Despite cost and limited availability, we believe that many patients, if presented with data, would prefer to receive remdesivir | |
| No recommendation | 7. For adults undergoing mechanical | Limited data on the effect of remdesivir on outcomes of mechanically ventilated patients | |
| | we suggest against starting IV remdesivir (weak recommendation). | Until more data is available, current costs and limited drug availability favor a weak recommendation against its use in this population | |

Alhazzani W, et al. Crit Care Med. 2021;49(3):e219-34.

| Previous SSC COVID-19 Guideline | New SSC COVID-19 Guideline | Justification | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Recommendation/Statement | Recommendation/Statement | | |
| Therapy | | | |
| Not applicable | 8. For adults with severe or critical COVID-19, we recommend using pharmacologic VTE prophylaxis over not using prophylaxis (strong recommendation). | High-quality indirect evidence from non-COVID-19 population shows that VTE prophylaxis is superior to no prophylaxis | |
| | | VTE rates are higher in COVID-19 population | |
| Not applicable | 9. For adults with severe or critical COVID-19 and no evidence of VTE, we suggest against the routine use of therapeutic anticoagulation outside of clinical trials (weak recommendation, very low quality evidence). | Awaiting the publication of ongoing RCTs | |

Case Study

Mr. Z was a 47-year-old male who was admitted to the emergency department complaining of a toothache that had been present for 7 days.

His tooth pain was severe and he came to the emergency department since he could not see his dentist until the morning. He had drainage from tooth #20, for which a culture was obtained and sent to the lab.

He said, "My tooth is killing me! You can pull it if you need to. I feel like it is going to explode."

Mr. Z was alert and oriented.

He had a history of hypertension and had a hemorrhagic stroke 10 years ago but had no major health issues since.

His heart and lung sounds were normal and his skin was cool and moist. He had good capillary refill, abdomen soft and non-tender.

He was currently on cefoxitin 2 g i.v. q6h.

| Admission | | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Heart Rate | 111 | | |
| Temperature | 38.7 | | |
| SPO ₂ | 0.96 | | |
| NIBP | 128/88 (101) | | |
| Respiratory Rate | 22 | | |

SPO2 = Pulse oximetry oxygen saturation NIBP - Non-invasive blood pressure

Questions

1. Does Mr. Z have signs of sepsis? Yes

2. What is a blood test that would be useful? Lactate

| | Admission | After 20 mL/kg normal saline (10 minutes) |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| Heart Rate | 111 | 104 |
| Temperature | 38.7 | 38.6 |
| SPO ₂ | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| NIBP | 128/88 (101) | 130/88 (102) |
| Respiratory Rate | 22 | 22 |
| Serum Lactate | 3.5 | - |

| After 4 Hours | | |
|------------------|-------------|--|
| Heart Rate | 88 | |
| Temperature | 38.1 | |
| SPO ₂ | 0.98 | |
| NIBP | 133/78 (94) | |
| Respiratory Rate | 17 | |
| Serum Lactate | 1.8 | |

- A decrease in lactate shows improved perfusion
 - If the lactate had remained elevated, more fluids could have been given
 - The use of the lactate allowed the clinician to better evaluate the seriousness of the situation
 - Often, vital signs are normal when lactate levels are elevated

Conclusions

- 1. Sepsis exists on a continuum of conditions ranging from mild to severe.
- 2. The incidence and prevalence of sepsis continues to increase along with length of stay and associated hospital costs.
- 3. High levels of sepsis markers such as SOFA scores and lactate are associated with increased risk of death independent of other aspects of sepsis bundle guidelines.
 - Clearance of biomarkers, such as lactate, are associated with improved outcome
- 4. Point-of-care measurements are faster than central laboratories.
 - May be beneficial for serial measurements

Continuing Education Credits

Date of Release: 7/1/2023 Date of Expiration: 7/1/2025 Estimated time to complete this educational activity: 1.5 hours

Planned and developed by Medavera, Inc. and supported by an educational donation from Siemens Healthineers.

Thank You